

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,670	08/05/2003	Theresa M. Miale	38602.00002.CON1	1791
7590 05/07/2004			EXAMINER	
Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP P.O. Box 919092			SHAW, ELIZABETH ANNE	
San Diego, CA 92191-9092			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3644	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/07/2007	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/635,670	MIALE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Elizabeth A. Shaw	3644
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty if will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON to cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35.U.S.C. 8.133)
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 A	August 2003.	
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-35</u> is/are pending in the application	nn	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-35</u> is/are allowed.	awii iioiii oonolooratioji.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-31</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers	•	
•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on OF August 2003 in Jacob		
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 August 2003</u> is/are:		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
The dath of decidation is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) \(\tau_1 \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_1 \tau_1 \tau_1 \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_1 \tau_1 \tau_1 \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_1 \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_1 \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_2 \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_2 \tau_1 \tau_2 \ta		
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	
1. Certified copies of the priority document		oplication No
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Ar	
 Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea 	ts have been received in Apority documents have been in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
 Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority 	ts have been received in Apority documents have been in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
 Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea 	ts have been received in Apority documents have been in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received in Apority documents have been in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat ★ See the attached detailed Office action for a list attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	ts have been received in Apprity documents have been in the current (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not received.	received in this National Stage received.
 Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea 	ts have been received in Aporty documents have been in the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Starper No(s)	received in this National Stage received.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,670

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 21-31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of non-obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S.

Patent No. 6,230,662. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both inventions claim a method of transporting an animal comprising the placing of an animal transport cart near an animal needing transport, said cart comprising a polygonal shaped frame having at least one joint that allows said frame to be folded, a support sheet that is removably attached to said frame, at least one wheel attached to said frame and which allows for a rolling movement of the cart, and a locking mechanism, wherein the locking mechanism may be selectively engaged allowing said frame to have a substantially planar orientation; locating the support sheet substantially adjacent to said animal to allow the animal to

Art Unit: 3644

rest on the support sheet, and imparting a force to the animal transport cart so as to roll the cart to a different location.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the method of placing the frame substantially around the animal must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 32-35 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth A. Shaw whose telephone number is 703-308-1853. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Jordan can be reached on 703-306-4159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,670

Art Unit: 3644

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner Art Unit 3644

April 30, 2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600